## ORIGINAL



BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION (

2 COMMISSIONERS

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2010 SEP 24 P 2: 30

KRISTIN K. MAYES, Chairman
 GARY PIERCE
 PAUL NEWMAN

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

SANDRA D. KENNEDY

5 BOB STUMP

<sup>6</sup> IN THE MATTER OF:

FIVE STAR TREE SERVICE AND LANDSCAPES, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company, aka FIVE STAR TREE SERVICE,

10 RICHARD MCCULLUM, JR., a married man,

LEAH ATWOOD, a married woman,

Respondents.

DOCKET NO. S-20715A-09-0564

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

SEP 2 4 2010

DOCKETED BY

PROCEDURAL ORDER
(Vacates Hearing)

## BY THE COMMISSION:

On December 17, 2009, the Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") filed a Temporary Order to Cease and Desist ("T.O.") and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing ("Notice") against Five Star Tree Service and Landscapes, LLC ("FSTL"), Richard McCullum, Jr. and Leah Atwood, husband and wife (collectively "Respondents"), in which the Division alleged multiple violations of the Arizona Securities Act ("Act") in connection with the offer and sale of securities in the form of investment contracts.

The Respondents were duly served with a copy of the Notice.

On February 16, 2010, a request for hearing was filed by Respondents Leah Atwood and FSTL. Respondents also requested that an attorney be appointed to represent them.

On February 17, 2010, by Procedural Order, a pre-hearing conference was scheduled on March 30, 2010, and Respondents advised that since this is an administrative proceeding and not a criminal proceeding, Respondents did not have a right to the appointment of counsel pursuant to the Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure. Respondents were further advised that they could either retain counsel or they could represent themselves pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and

28

ľ

Procedure A.A.C. R14-3-101 et seq. and the Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court.

On March 30, 2010, at the pre-hearing conference, the Division appeared with counsel and Respondent, Leah Atwood, appeared on her own behalf. The Division presented a request from hearing by Respondent McCullum, which the Division indicated would be filed after the initial pre-hearing conference. The Division requested that a hearing also be scheduled.

On March 31, 2010, by Procedural Order, a hearing was scheduled on June 29 and 30, 2010.

On June 23, 2010, by Procedural Order, due to a conflict with the Commission's Open Meeting scheduled on June 29 and 30, 2010, the hearing was continued to September 28 and 29, 2010.

On August 3, 2010, the Division filed an Amended T.O. and Notice to make a minor change to the caption in the proceeding and made several minor changes to the pleading.

On August 4, 2010, by Procedural Order, leave was granted to the Division to file its Amended T.O. and Notice. Due to the minor nature of the Division's amendment, it was not necessary for Respondents to file additional requests for hearing or Answers which had been previously filed and the hearing was to be held as previously ordered.

On September 2, 2010, due to a scheduling conflict, by Procedural Order, the hearing was rescheduled to commence on September 27, 2010.

On September 24, 2010, the Division filed a Motion to Vacate the hearing because the parties had reached a settlement and a Consent Order will be submitted for Commission approval at the October 7, 2010 Open Meeting.

Accordingly, the hearing should be vacated.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the hearing scheduled to commence on September 27, 2010, is hereby vacated.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113 – Unauthorized Communications) applies to this proceeding as the matter is now set for public hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal or representation must be made in compliance with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Rule 42 of the Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court). Representation before the Commission includes appearances

at all hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter is 1 scheduled for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the 2 3 Administrative Law Judge or the Commission. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Rules 31 and 38 of the Rules 4 5 of the Arizona Supreme Court and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to the practice of law and admission pro hac vice. 6 7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Presiding Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by 8 9 ruling at hearing. 10 day of September, 2010. DATED this 11 12 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 14 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered 15 this day of September, 2010 to: 16 Leah Atwood 5746 South Estrella Road, No. 2 17 Gold Canyon, AZ 85118 18 Richard McCullum, Jr. 5703 Laurel Canyon Blvd., #304 19 Valley Village, ČA 91607 20 Matt Neubert, Director Securities Division 21 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1300 West Washington Street 22 Phoenix, AZ 85007 23 ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 2200 North Central Avenue, Suite 502 24 Phoenix, AZ 85004 25 By: 26 Debra Broyles Secretary to Marc E. Stern 27

28